

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,683	11/04/2003	Robert C. Brunham	1038-1273 MIS:ah	2991
7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
Michael I. Stewart			PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN	
Sim & McBurr	ney		, pm : p ::m	B. 1000 100 (0.000
6th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
330 University Avenue			1645	
Toronto, ON M5G 1R7 CANADA			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/699,683	BRUNHAM ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Ginny Portner	1645

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	ino initialità di initialità anno dell'initialità anno dell'initialità dell'inita dell'initialità dell'initialità dell'initialità dell'initial
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>27 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
th	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a ti	Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following me periods:
a) 🔀	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
fi - a	ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
	DMENTS
_	Fhe proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	a) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(0	t) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. 🔲 🤈	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
է <u> </u>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the on-allowable claim(s).
ε h	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
_	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	laim(s) allowed: :laim(s) objected to:
C	laim(s) rejected: <u>19-22 and 24-28</u> .
	claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
13. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: NITA MINISTRALE PRIMARY FXAMINED
	PRIMARY FYAMING
	11/0/14
•	1(/2/ _{0.2})

いころとこの場合があっ

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment submitted After Final raises new issues and has not been entered; Remarks are directed to a combination of claim limitations not entered and are therefore not commensurate in scope with the instantly finally rejected claims. Additionally, with respect to the allowed copending Application referred by Applicant, it was noted by the examiner that the allowed claims are directed to method claims and not composition claims. A new use for a known composition defines patentable subject matter. The instantly claimed compositions have not been structurally distinguished over the applied prior art. The recited functional limitations "a promoter operatively coupled to said nucleic acid molecule for expression" and "by cells by a host" have not been so claimed to distinguish from the host cells and the pormoters of the applied prior art.

Additionally, the finally rejected claims are still rejected under obviousness type double patenting and an effective terminal disclaimer has not been filed.